IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Demtrius Allen Sims,)
Plaintiff,) Civil Action No. 8:15-cv-00359-JMC
v.	ORDER
The County of Greenville; Dep't of Public Safety for Greenville County;)))
Scotty Bodiford; Tracy Krein; Lisa McCombs; Kim Olszewski;)
Marie Livingston, Defendants.)))

Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, brought this action seeking relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012). (ECF No. 1.) This matter is before the court for review of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ("Report") (ECF No. 46), filed on January 19, 2016, recommending that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 28) be granted. The Report sets forth in detail the relevant facts and legal standards on this matter, and the court incorporates the Magistrate Judge's recommendation herein without a recitation.

The Magistrate Judge's Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (2012) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court, and the recommendation has no presumptive weight—the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or

8:15-cv-00359-JMC Date Filed 02/08/16 Entry Number 48 Page 2 of 2

modify, in whole or in part, the Magistrate Judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with

instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

In this case, Plaintiff was advised of the right to file an objection to the Report "within

fourteen (14) days of the date of service of the Report and Recommendation," or by February 5,

2016. (ECF No. 46.) Plaintiff filed no objections.

In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report, this court is not required to

provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199

(4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct

a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the

record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416

F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). After a

thorough review of the Report and the record in this case, the court finds that the Report provides

an accurate summary of the facts and law and that there is no clear error.

Therefore, the court ADOPTS the findings of the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation (ECF No. 46). It is therefore **ORDERED** that Defendants' Motion for Summary

Judgment (ECF No. 28) be **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge

J. Michelle Childs

February 8, 2016

Columbia, South Carolina

2